PHM 310: Health Systems II  
2016 Course Syllabus and Timetable

Course Description
This course will take an issues-oriented, critical-thinking approach to the healthcare system, with a particular emphasis on pharmacy practice. The course will build on the material from PHM 110, from PHM 114, and from PHM 215, and will allow a deeper look into areas such as quality and patient safety, e-health technology and the health care needs of diverse populations. Students will also have an opportunity to explore the role of interprofessional collaboration in health care delivery. Week by week students will examine issues with drug supply and access, pharmacy practice reform, expanded services, collaborative care and reimbursement models, and the interconnectivity of the disparate parts of the health care system. In addition to looking at health systems issues related to pharmacy practice in Canada, this course will include international comparisons between the Canadian system and the systems of the United Kingdom, United States and Australia. By participating in class discussions, reading course reference materials and completing assignments, students will learn to identify and analyze current and emerging health system issues from key stakeholder perspectives. In the course of doing so, they will also become aware of, understand and appreciate:
- factors internal and external to pharmacy and medication use that drive change in practice
- current strategies for evaluating and improving health care and pharmacy practice
- the role of interprofessional collaboration in the delivery of healthcare
- emerging roles and opportunities in pharmacy.

Rationale for Inclusion in the Curriculum
This course extends students' foundation knowledge about the Canadian health care system acquired in PHM 110 to discussion and analysis of health system issues, policies, and trends with implications for pharmacy practice. It will highlight the ongoing need for change in health care systems and pharmacy practice, and the need for innovativeness and adaptability as key pharmacist attributes. In so doing it will further develop students' critical thinking skills, particularly with respect to analysis of issues and strategic thinking about the health care system and pharmacy practice. This course also provides significant opportunity for students to develop their critical reading and writing skills.

Administrative Information

Hours and Location: Tuesdays 3:00-5:00 pm  
Room PB-B250, Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy

Course Coordinators: Jamie Kellar, Room 701, Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy  
jamie.kellar@utoronto.ca

Elise Paradis, Room 702, Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy  
elise.paradis@utoronto.ca

Office hours: By appointment
Teaching Assistants: Patti Leake  
Aleksandra Stanimirovic  
Jennifer Marshall  
patti.leake@mail.utoronto.ca  
akleksandra.stanimirovic@mail.utoronto.ca  
jen.marshall@mail.utoronto.ca

Course Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this course, students will have achieved the following learning objectives:

Knowledge
Introductory
1. To describe selected current issues and trends in the Canadian health care system with important implications for pharmacy practice.
2. To identify regulatory, reimbursement, quality of care, and technology drivers of pharmacy practice change in Ontario and, where relevant, nationally and internationally.
3. To discuss the trend to assessing and reporting quality indicators for health care systems and to holding health care provider organizations accountable for meeting quality targets, and provide examples of the trend in community and hospital/institutional pharmacy.
4. To explain some of the consequences associated with the system of professions and its impact on care delivery and collaborative care relationships.
5. To compare Canada's health system to systems in selected peer countries with respect to who and what is covered by the public system, methods of funding health care providers/provider organizations including hospitals, the health care workforce, current health care issues, and pharmacy practice and business models.

Intermediate
6. To explain how the health system issues and trends identified in objective #1 and 2 above have the potential to alter pharmacy practice.
7. To identify strategic pharmacist-initiated responses to issues and trends in health care such that the medication-related needs of clients (patients and third party payors) continue to be met.

Skills
Intermediate Level
8. To analyze pharmacy-related health systems issues and trends from the perspectives of major stakeholders and to effectively communicate this analysis in writing.
9. To critically reflect on the limitations inherent to collaborative care as a solution for broader problems of care delivery in writing.
10. To critically assess suggestions to improve equity in the healthcare system.
11. To engage in the peer review of colleagues’ critical writing and provide meaningful feedback.
12. To use the Model for Improvement to plan the steps for a specific quality improvement project

Attitudes/Values
Intermediate Level
13. To reflect on one’s own explicit and implicit beliefs about different groups of people (such as the elderly, women, racial minorities, etc.), in order to evaluate the impact of these beliefs and of social categorization on practice and downstream health equity.
14. To develop a sense of enquiry and professional responsibility regarding being aware of, and informed about, emerging health issues, initiatives and policies that might affect pharmacy.
Required Resources/Textbooks/Readings

Selected journal articles, book chapters and reports listed on the course website.

Student and Instructor Responsibilities

Instructors (including course coordinators) are expected to:
- be enthusiastic and engaging as they present course material
- deliver the material in a clear and organized fashion
- provide students with relevant readings and show the linkages between lecture material and readings
- model critical thinking
- illustrate how the material applies to the practice of pharmacy
- be accessible to students
- assist students who express difficulty in understanding or applying course material
- Post material in a timely fashion
- Provide feedback on student writing to foster development in this area

Students are expected to:
- participate and be active learners
- refer to the course syllabus as a first source of information on course requirements and procedures
- do required preparatory work, i.e., readings, assignments
- attend and participate in lectures
- seek the assistance of the guest instructor, course coordinators, and/or teaching assistants as appropriate when learning difficulties are encountered
- become apprised of all course announcements (which will be made in class, as well as posted on the course webpage)
- contact a course coordinator and registrar as soon as possible after an assignment or exam has been missed (or, if relevant, in advance)

Students are encouraged to seek the assistance of the course coordinators in understanding course material, completing assignments, etc. In order to optimize this interaction, students should first read the instructions, do the required preparatory work, and reflect on the problem encountered.

Course Communications Policies

Students should check the course website on Blackboard at least twice a week for course announcements/new postings. Course coordinators will do their best to respond to emails within 48 hours during the work week. Please do not resend your email within that time window. Students should email both course coordinators every time they write.
**Classroom Procedures**

Class will begin promptly at 10 minutes after the hour. Students are asked to arrive several minutes before the class start time and be settled in their seats prior to the 3:10 start time. This will permit class representatives to make announcements in advance of the lecture and allow the lecturer (often a guest) to start on time with the full attention of the class. In this same spirit of creating a good learning environment for all, students should check that cell phones are turned off and that laptop computers are used only for viewing course materials.

**Policy on Audiotaping and Reproduction of Course Materials**

The University of Toronto’s policy on Copyright in Instructional Settings (found on the first page of the Faculty of Pharmacy Calendar) states: “If a student wishes to tape-record, photograph, video-record or otherwise reproduce lecture presentations, course notes or other similar materials provided by instructors, he or she must obtain the instructor’s [lecturer’s] written consent beforehand. Otherwise, all such reproduction is an infringement of copyright and is absolutely prohibited. In the case of private use by students with disabilities, the instructor’s consent will not be unreasonably withheld.”

The policy in this course is an adaptation of the U of T policy:

- Students are required to seek the instructor’s permission to audiotape his or her lecture.
- The course coordinator cannot provide permission on behalf of other lecturers.
- Recordings are to be used for personal study and are not to be distributed/shared without the written permission of the instructor.

Each lecturer provides detailed slide handouts in advance of the lecture. Therefore, note taking is not onerous. In addition, the process of taking notes develops active listening skills. Finally, the act of recording may inhibit other students from asking questions and from participating in frank and open discussion of course material in the classroom.

**Assessment of Critical Thinking**

This course focuses on thinking critically about health system issues with the potential to affect pharmacy practice, and students’ work is assessed accordingly. The kind of critical thinking students will be assessed on will be modeled in class by the instructors, as well as described at length during the first week. When grading the assignment and the mid-term exam we focus on demonstration of critical thinking. Please see the document “Writing short answers” posted on the Course Information and Assignment sections of the course website for points to keep in mind as you write.
Although we use a precisely delineated Evaluation Checklist (see course website) to assess your assignment, it is useful to think of the overall letter grade as generally representing the following:

- **A**: excellent overall, no major weaknesses
- **B**: demonstrates more strengths than weaknesses and is more consistent in achieving high level performance than C work
- **C**: demonstrates more than a minimum level of skill but it is also inconsistent, with as many weaknesses as strengths
- **D**: borderline work; demonstrates only a minimal level of understanding and skill in critical thinking
- **F**: the work does not display discernable critical reasoning or may be completely off-topic

Letter grades are converted to a numerical grade out of 10 using the midpoint of the range specified in the University Grading Practices Policy (see Pharmacy calendar)

**Assessment Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Date Due</th>
<th>Percentage of Final Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assignment #1: Health system issue analysis assignment I – 400 words</td>
<td>Week/Session 3 (before 20 September 2016, 3:15PM)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment #2 Draft 1 – Submit to Peer Scholar for Peer Review</td>
<td>Week/Session 8 (25 October 2016; 3:15 pm)</td>
<td>Required part of process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm Exam Short answer, critical thinking questions</td>
<td>Week 9 (31 October 2016, 10:30-12:30PM, EX100)</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Scholar Review Process and reflection*</td>
<td>Week/Session 10 (before 8 November 2016, 3:15PM)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment #2:* Health systems issue analysis assignment II – 1000 words</td>
<td>Session 12 (22 November 2016, 3:15PM)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Examination</td>
<td>TBD (December)</td>
<td>40% Cumulative Exam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assignment II will be submitted in a first draft for peer review via peer scholar. Each student will submit their paper in week 8 via peer scholar. Each student will be randomly assigned to review 2 papers of their peers – they will submit their feedback by week 10. Students will be able to revise their papers based on the feedback and will submit a final copy by week 12 for grading. Students will submit a short reflection on the utility of the peer review process with their final paper.
**Turnitin**

All students will be required to submit their course essays to Turnitin.com on Blackboard for a review of textual similarity and detection of possible plagiarism. In doing so, students will allow their essays to be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database, where they will be used solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. The terms that apply to the University’s use of the Turnitin.com service are described on the Turnitin.com web site. You are allowed to resubmit your assignment to Turnitin.com until but not after the due date listed above.

**Peer Scholar**

As noted previously, a key goal for this course is to develop critical thinking and writing skills. This course uses Peer Scholar as a mechanism to further develop critical thinking and writing skills. For your health issue analysis assignment II, students will be asked to prepare a first draft and submit it to Peer Scholar. After this students will be assigned 2 papers for peer assessment, and will be expected to read and critically assess the work of two classmates by providing 2 constructive comments. Students will then have an opportunity to revise their paper based on peer feedback and what they learned through peer review. In doing this they will reflect on the comments provided and decide how to best improve their work before handing in a final version for grading. Detailed instructions will be provided in class and will be posted on Blackboard.

**Remediation Opportunities**

Students who do not achieve a passing grade are invited to review their assignment or exam responses with the course coordinators.

Students who are not successful in the course will meet at least once with course coordinator prior to the supplemental exam to enhance student understanding of course materials and discuss approaches to answering exam questions.

**Policy and Procedures Regarding Make-up Assignments and Examinations**

**Missed Exam/Test Policy**

Students who miss an examination and who have a valid petition filed with the Registrar’s office will be eligible to complete a make-up examination. The format of this examination or test will be at the discretion of the course coordinator, and may include, for example, an oral examination.

**Missed Assignment Policy**

Students who fail to submit an assignment by the specified due date, and who have a valid petition filed with the Registrar's office will be eligible to submit the completed assignment with no academic penalty.

**Late Assignment Policy**

Students who fail to submit an assignment by the specified due date will receive a deduction of 5% for each day beyond the due date (including weekends/holidays), to a maximum of 35%. Assignments will not be accepted for grading after 7 late days

**Course Evaluation**

Students will be invited to complete a comprehensive assessment of the course overall upon completion of the course.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date and Lecturer</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Proposed Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| September 6, 2016 | Introduction to the course and the health systems analysis tool | 1. Describe goals and objectives of the course  
2. Review the health system analysis tool that will be used for writing assignments I and II  
3. Summarize the key elements of critical thinking and writing  
4. Practice critical thinking and writing skills |
| Jamie Kellar      | Overview of Critical Thinking and Writing –workshop style | |
| Elise Paradis     | Disease, Illness, Population Health and the Healthcare System | 1. Differentiate between disease and illness  
2. Contrast population health with individual-level health  
3. Describe the principles behind definitions of population health  
4. Discuss the socially constructed nature of risk, disease and mental health |
| September 20, 2016| The Social Psychology of Categorization and its Impact | 1. Identify social determinants that influence health and well-being  
2. Understand how use categorization to understand and navigate the world  
3. Explain how processes of social categorization might lead to stereotyping  
4. Differentiate between explicit and implicit beliefs and biases  
5. Identify mechanisms whereby bias can have consequences during clinical encounters  
   1. Among professionals  
   2. Between patients and professionals  
6. Identify mechanisms to address bias and improve equity at the individual and system levels |
| Elise Paradis     | Accreditation and Quality in Health Care | 1. define quality in health care  
2. summarize ways in which quality is assessed in the Canadian health care system.  
3. describe the role of indicators in |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Learning Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| October 4, 2016      | Pharmacists Interface with Healthcare System: A systems perspective on change in pharmacy practice | Lisa Dolovich                                                             | 1. Discuss the many interfaces of pharmacists with the health care system  
2. Describe the evolution of change in pharmacy practice from a systems perspective |
| October 11, 2016     | System of Professions: Interprofessional Care and Education Across Health Care Settings | Elise Paradis                                                             | 1. Discuss different theories of the healthcare professions  
2. Situate individual professional practice within the system of professions  
3. Describe how features of the system of professions limit the possibilities for change in pharmacy practice, including collaborative care and interprofessional education |
| October 18, 2016     | Patient Safety and Medication Errors: Tools for system improvement   | Olavo Fernandes                                                           | 1. Explain the need for risk management activities in pharmacy practice settings  
2. Be able to select and apply appropriate medication tools to support risk management activities.  
3. Describe the rationale for multidisciplinary participation in analysis teams  
4. Apply systems theory and human factors engineering principles at a basic level in health care  
5. compare and contrast patient safety vs. quality of care  
6. explain the need for risk management activities in pharmacy practice settings and be able to select and apply tools to support risk management activities (ISMP Medication Safety)  
7. identify ways in which quality of care for pharmacy in Ontario is assessed  
8. describe the goals and potential benefits of voluntary pharmacy accreditation programs |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presentator</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| October 25, 2016   | Evolution of pharmacy practice: Scope, Services and Reimbursement Frameworks | Nedzad Pojskic       | 1. Describe the evolution of pharmacy scope in Canada, with an emphasis on Ontario.  
                                                                         |                          | 2. Explain the rationale for regulating technicians                          |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 3. Differentiate between expanded scope vs. expanded services                |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 4. Compare the extent and type of reimbursement for community pharmacists’ expanded scope services in Canada |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 5. Identify and discuss reimbursement trends and common issues across provinces, with an emphasis on Ontario. |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 6. Compare pharmacist prescribing models in other Canadian provinces, the UK and the USA in terms of prescriptive authority |
| November 1, 2016   | Current Events in Canadian Pharmacy Practice                          | Small Group Workshops TBD | 1. Describe the history behind the development of issues arising from current event in Canada.  
                                                                         |                          | 2. Compare the Canadian response to other international models.              |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 3. Identify and analyze different ethical issues involved in the current event. |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 4. Critically assess the discourse associated with the current event.        |
| November 8, 2016   | Access to medication and drug shortages                               | Jamie Kellar         | 1. Describe the drug supply chain in Canada and the drug shortage problem in North America and globally.  
<pre><code>                                                                     |                          | 2. Explain factors contributing to the drug shortage problem                  |
</code></pre>
<p>|                    |                                                                        |                      | 3. Discuss the impact of drug shortages on patients, physicians, pharmacies and pharmacists, and drug plans. |
|                    |                                                                        |                      | 4. Describe the role of pharmacists                                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Lecturers/Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 15, 2016</td>
<td>Comparative Health Systems: Canada, UK and USA</td>
<td>Linda MacKeigan, John Farrell, David Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Compare and contrast the Health</td>
<td>Systems of Canada, UK and USA with a focus on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Technology/e-health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Accountability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 29, 2016</td>
<td>E-Health</td>
<td>Marie Rocchi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Discuss the development and current</td>
<td>status of e-Health in Canada and Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>status of e-Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Discuss results of CPhA and Infoway’s</td>
<td>survey of community Pharmacists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>survey of community Pharmacists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Discuss current trends and issues in</td>
<td>Consumer Health Informatics &amp; Digital Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumer Health Informatics &amp; Digital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Discuss the cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>evidence for EHR integrated e-prescribing systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>evidence for EHR integrated e-prescribing systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Analyze feasibility of pan-Canadian</td>
<td>e-Prescribing by 2015 and compliance with NAPRA’s PPMS Standards by Jan 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e-Prescribing by 2015 and compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with NAPRA’s PPMS Standards by Jan 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Describe status of EHRs in other</td>
<td>countries, and compare their progress to Ontario’s and Canada’s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>countries, and compare their progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to Ontario’s and Canada’s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 29, 2016</td>
<td>System based research in Pharmacy +</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course Wrap Up</td>
<td>Pharmacy Researchers to highlight their work and its potential impact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>